

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/484,516	01/18/2000	Sameer Halepete	TRANS34	9779
7590 07/16/2004			EXAMINER	
WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP TWO NORTH MARKET STREET			MYERS, PAUL R	
THIRD FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN JOSE, C	A 95113		2112	

DATE MAILED: 07/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 09/484,516 HALEPETE ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner **Art Unit** 2112 Paul R. Myers All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Paul R. Myers. (3) Anthony Murabitto. (2) Ron Pomerenke (4)Rahul Patel (5) Bryn Ekroot Date of Interview: 14 July 2004. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: Applicants exibited the Intel 82801CAM I/O controller which appears to handle power transitioning in the manner of Horden which shows the timing diagram which Horden does not... Claim(s) discussed: 16. Identification of prior art discussed: Horden. Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\boxtimes$ was reached. g) $\square$ was not reached. h) $\square$ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants arugement of the meaning of the claimed "executing instructions while changing voltage" in light of the stopclock signal of the Intel reference appears to read over the interpretation of Horden. Horden will be reconsidered and a new search will be performed interpreting the claim language as argued. Applicants will submit the Intel reference in an IDS. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

PAUL R. MYERS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Paul R. My

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an